

CONTENS

| | |
|---|----|
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | 9 |
| 1. INTRODUCTION | 13 |
| 1.1 The problem. | 18 |
| 1.2 Theoretical foundations and methodological principles . | 23 |
| 1.3 Research material, timing of the research, and structure of the text | 28 |
| 2. UNCERTAINTY AND RISK IN CONTROVERSIES ABOUT TECHNICAL PROJECTS IN THE SOCIAL SCIENCES. | 31 |
| 2.1 Two paradigms for dealing with risk and uncertainty issues? | 32 |
| 2.2 From studies of risk perception to risk governance. . . . | 34 |
| 2.3 Risk governance and its understanding of risk | 40 |
| 2.4 Frank Knight and the relationship between risk and uncertainty | 41 |
| 2.5 Constructivist STS and uncertainty | 42 |
| 2.6 Radical uncertainty and hybrid forums in controversies about technical projects | 44 |
| 2.7 Different flavours of uncertainty according to Brian Wynne | 46 |
| 2.8 The double face of science | 49 |
| 2.9 Conclusion | 49 |
| 3. THE CZECH REPOSITORY PROJECT IN THE 1990s: THE REPOSITORY AS A RESEARCH PROJECT | 52 |
| 3.1 First geological assessments and research work in the 1990s | 53 |
| 3.2 The Atomic Act and the establishment of SÚRAO | 55 |
| 3.3 The geological repository as a research project | 56 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 3.4 The research project and anticipation of a public controversy | 58 |
| 3.5 Geology and making predictions | 63 |
| 3.6 Safety assessments of the repository | 65 |
| 3.7 Conclusion | 71 |
| | |
| 4. THE CZECH REPOSITORY PROJECT IN THE EARLY 2000s: THE BIRTH OF THE CONTROVERSY | 75 |
| 4.1 The list of the preselected sites goes public | 75 |
| 4.2 Approving the Czech governmental nuclear waste management strategy | 77 |
| 4.3 NGOs and local associations take part | 81 |
| 4.4 SÚRAO starts regional mapping | 85 |
| 4.5 Geological research continues ... until the moratorium . | 87 |
| 4.6 Municipalities, NIMBY, and uncertainty about the decision-making process | 92 |
| 4.7 SÚRAO, emphasis on communication, and the risk-based paradigm | 99 |
| 4.8 Conclusion: Where is the repository? | 103 |
| | |
| 5. THE MORATORIUM AND ‘DIALOGUE PERIOD’; 2004–2013 | 107 |
| 5.1 The moratorium and foundations for dialogue about the Czech repository project | 108 |
| 5.2 The origin of the Working Group for Dialogue | 115 |
| 5.3 SÚRAO takes action: Public debates, a new site and SÚRAO’s promise | 121 |
| 5.4 The Working Group keeps working while SÚRAO seeks a deal | 129 |
| 5.5 The end of the Dialogue period | 132 |
| 5.6 The end of the Working Group and another polarisation of the controversy | 137 |
| 5.7 A failed way out of the vicious circle | 140 |
| 5.8 Risk-free eternity? | 145 |
| 5.9 Uncertain tomorrow | 150 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 6. CONCLUSION: FROM UNCERTAIN ETERNITY TO ETERNAL UNCERTAINTY? | 160 |
| 6.1 Trust, trust, trust | 160 |
| 6.2 Where are the hybrid forums? | 162 |
| 6.3 The ordering of an uncertain eternity | 168 |
| REFERENCES | 172 |
| APPENDIX | 182 |
| List of interviews | 182 |
| List of public debates, seminars, and conferences | 182 |
| NAME INDEX | 184 |
| INDEX OF INSTITUTION AND ORGANIZATION NAMES | 186 |